

THURLESTONE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Parish Council Meeting

Date: 4 th April 2022		Venue & Time: Thurlestone Parish Hall, 19.30 hrs
<u>Present:</u> Cllr Sue Crowther Cllr Kit Marshall Cllr Charlie Mitchelmore Cllr Jill Munn Cllr Jack Rhymes (in the chair) Cllr Sian Williams	<u>In Attendance:</u> Kathy Harrod (Parish Clerk) SHDC Cllr Judy Pearce DCC Cllr Rufus Gilbert Parishioners/guests 6	<u>Apologies:</u> Cllr Nigel Hurrell SHDC Cllr Mark Long

REF 2022/23 MINUTES

OPEN FORUM

There was an open forum of 15 minutes at the beginning of the meeting to allow members of the public to ask questions or make comment regarding the work of the Council or other items which affect the Parish. The following matters arose:

- It was noted Bantham Estate have not renewed moorings that have been with certain families for over 40 years, they have also withdrawn parking permits for some residents. Please see Clerks report for response to our communication.
- The four outgoing councillors were thanked for their work, between them they have spent over 100 years with the Parish Council, during which time they have achieved a lot. They will be missed!
- A road safety mirror at Aune Cross has come down in the last gale. The other mirror that Bantham Estate are responsible for remains in place. A new mirror will be purchased and erected.
- A query was raised regarding the Platinum Jubilee celebrations. It is all being dealt with by a separate committee, everyone is encouraged to help neighbours attend if they are less able, there will be plenty of room on the day including a large, covered area with tables and chairs available. A road closure is in place. Live bands have been confirmed. It was noted that the beacon/lantern parade still awaiting confirmation, more information will follow.
- Historical evidence has been provided to Devon County Council Public Rights of Way to prove that the Dixons Quay footpath has been used as a footpath for many years. Over 90 witnesses have assisted with this. Unfortunately the Public Right of Way team have advised Mr Gilbert that they will not consider this submission until 2025 at the earliest, if accepted the footpath will be a registered public right of way.
- The kerb by Heathfield has been badly damaged. A letter will be issued requesting repair and the possible inclusion of a bollard at this site to prevent further damage occurring.

The Meeting Convened

21 WELCOME & APOLOGIES

22 Declarations of Interests and amendments to Members' Registers of Interests.

Cllr Williams declared an interest in Planning 0580/22/CLE, Cllr Crowther declared an interest in Finance, the councillors withdrew from those discussions.

23 To confirm the Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting on 7th March 2022.

It was resolved to confirm the minutes as a true record of the meetings.

24 Clerks Report:

THURLESTONE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Parish Council Meeting

- Vacancy Notices & Progression Planning: Casual Vacancy notices have been issued as of 1st April, South Hams District Council will confirm on 22nd April if there will be an election or co-option. We hope to co-opt for two vacancies in May with a further two co-options to take place at the June meeting, assuming that no election is called. If anyone would like to submit an interest in the vacancies, please email thurlestoneparish@gmail.com.
- Airband Update: There have been continued issues regarding requests for permissions to site the box in the incorrect place. Cllr Crowther, Cllr Gilbert and Cllr Pearce have all worked hard to ensure that the box will be sited by the entrance to the Yarmer Estate on the edge of the Memorial Green as per our agreement. It has also come to our attention that in the surrounding area, Airband may have been putting up poles to carry wires without prior notification. Letters informing residents have been received after the poles had been erected. They are using Permitted Development Rights to erect the poles, this is allowed in Conservation Areas. We have questioned the proposals they have in place for the parish and asked if new poles have been mapped out in and around the village areas.
- 20mph Expression of interest: Cllr Williams has submitted the request on behalf of TPC, we await a response from Devon County Council.
- Submission of localities applications: Applications have been made to both Ward Councillors in respect of funding to be received from their localities allowances for the Platinum Jubilee celebrations in June.
- Avian Flu Communication: We have received a communication asking people who keep poultry or other birds to register their birds, report any illness or deaths in their birds and to ensure their birds are free from infection. A copy of the file has been uploaded to the Thurlestone Parish Council Facebook page. If anyone would like a copy of the communication via email, please contact us at thurlestoneparish@gmail.com.
- Elston Cross & Whitley Way: Highways have written to the landowner requesting works are undertaken.
- West Buckland to Bantham Road: The road has been flagged by Devon County Council for repairs, it has not been prioritised yet, we await confirmation of a date. Cllr Gilbert is meeting with Highways tomorrow.
- With respect to our communication regarding the Bantham Estate Shoot, Mr Johnston has confirmed that the upcoming season is not certain, in light of this it has been agreed that the Parish Council will be kept informed of developments with concerns being addressed when appropriate.
- Yarmer Estate Communication: We have been advised that Eddystone, Warren and Ilbert Roads will be resurfaced by Glendinning Ltd with work scheduled to start May 3rd. The first week will deal with drainage issues including repairing gully's in Ilbert Road and providing additional soakaways elsewhere where needed. Glendinning are likely to be on site 2 to 3 weeks. It is understood that work will be during normal daytime hours but as yet a detailed schedule has not been received. All residents have been fully advised by both newsletter and email and, of course, contributed to the cost.
- Cllr Crowther has submitted a response on behalf of Thurlestone Parish Council to the Landscapes Review (National Parks & AONBs). Please see **Appendix B** for the Government's view and **Appendix C** for the response from Thurlestone Parish Council.

25 Planning & Enforcement

a) LIST OF APPLICATIONS RECEIVED/FOR DISCUSSION:

1. 0580/22/CLE, Higher Aunemouth Farm, Bantham, Certificate of Lawfulness (18/4).
Councillors had no factual evidence to support or refute the claim and would not be submitting a response to SHDC.
2. 0316/22/HHO, Lambs Close, Thurlestone, Replacement single storey extension (7/4). **TPC Object**

THURLESTONE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Parish Council Meeting

Thurlestone Parish Council objected to this application. Councillors considered that whilst the proposed rear extension is subordinate in scale and form to the existing dwelling (consistent with NP Policy TP7.2. i.), the contemporary flat roof design was not in keeping with the main dwelling and the character of the area (contrary to NP Policy TP1.2 and SHDC Supplementary Planning Document 2020); and the additional glazing would be likely to contribute to light pollution (contrary to NP Policies TP1.4 and TP1.5).

3. 0446/22/FUL, Thurlestone Golf Club, Re-roofing flat roof areas (7/4). **TPC Support**

4. 0192/22/HHO, The Pillars, Eddystone Road, ground & lower floor extension (5/5). **TPC Support**
Thurlestone Parish Council supported this application. Councillors considered that the proposed works to modernise the building would improve the state and appearance of the existing property. The proposed extensions were subordinate in scale and form to the dwelling (NP Policy TP7.2. i.); there were no neighbouring amenity issues (NP Policy TP1.1); the style, scale and character of the proposed development, using materials to match the existing, would be proportionate and appropriate in the location (NP Policy TP1.2); and would not have an unacceptable impact on light pollution and the AONB (NP Policies TP1.4 and TP1.5).

b) DECISIONS:

1. 4754/21/FUL, 21 Eddystone Road, Thurlestone. **Withdrawn.**
2. 4687/21/HHO, The Meadows, Court Park, TQ7 3LX, alterations & extension **SHDC Conditional Approval**
3. 0196/22/TPO, Flat 2, Arden House, TQ7 3NX, Tree works. **Tree Works Allowed**
4. 0257/22/VAR, Units 1 & 2 Whimbrels Edge, TQ7 3LE. **SHDC No Decision Yet**
5. 0467/22/VAR, 18 Meadcombe Rd, Thurlestone. **SHDC No Decision Yet**
6. 4301/21/HHO, Appledore, Island View, Thurlestone. **SHDC No Decision Yet**
7. 0386/22/HHO, Longstone, Warren Road, Thurlestone. **SHDC Conditional Approval**
8. 0293/22/FUL, Onnalea, Bantham, Demolition & rebuild of existing property. **SHDC Refused**
9. 0372/22/VAR, Plot 29 Highfield, Eddystone Rd. **SHDC No Decision Yet**
10. 2786/20/FUL, West Buckland Barn, TQ7 3AJ. **SHDC No Decision Yet**
11. 0877/22/COM Notice of Intent to install communication apparatus. **Permitted development**
12. 3624/21/HHO Hooters, Ilbert Road, Thurlestone. **SHDC Refusal**
13. 3026/21/FUL & 3027/21/FUL Vineyard temporary fencing, Buckland & Bantham. **SHDC No Decision Yet**

c) ENFORCEMENT

Please note that the Enforcement Lists are confidential and issues concerning Enforcement cannot be discussed at a public meeting.

South Hams District Council are responsible for the enforcement of all planning breaches, the Parish Council have no authority to deal with issues of this nature.

Please will all parishioners continue to report full details of any violations that do not adhere to the original application for which permission was granted. ALL violations can be reported to Enforcement via

www.southhams.gov.uk/article/3043/Report-a-Planning-Breach

26 BUSINESS TO BE DISCUSSED:

- a. Request for amendment to February Minutes: It was resolved to amend item 5b, 2744/21/HHO Madron, to show SHDC Refused.
- b. Housing Needs Survey: The evidence from the recent survey was that six rented properties would be required within the next five years. The proposed site being at Bantham. However, the Council still have some concerns, for example, any housing control must sit with a public body and not a landowner. It was resolved that Cllr Crowther would investigate further and report back to the council.

THURLESTONE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Parish Council Meeting

- c. Platinum Jubilee Plans: Cllr Rhymes confirmed that more details will be released shortly, in the meantime he called for more bunting! If anyone can provide or make some red/white/blue bunting please get in touch with the team organising the event.

It was resolved to approve the purchase of Jubilee related items by Cllr Williams.

Insurance requirements will be reviewed by the Clerk.

- d. Public Toilets & Artisan Building: We await a quote from SHDC in respect of repairs to the public toilets. With regards to the artisan building, it requires some attention as it is becoming increasingly run down. Cllr Williams gave a variety of ideas for the building, storage for SUP or similar/birdwatching/information point/environmental hut/tender the space out. Cllr Rhymes will speak with the Golf Club regarding the ownership of the artisan building.

27. To receive reports from County and District Councillors and to ask any questions arising

DEVON COUNTY COUNCIL REPORT:

Cllr Rufus Gilbert, Email: Rufus.Gilbert@devon.gov.uk

Highways have written to the owner of Elston Cross requesting attention to the land to prevent further flooding issues.

THE COUNTY OF DEVON (TEMPORARY RESTRICTION) (A381, HALWELL) NOTICE 2022

TEMPORARY PROHIBITION OF THROUGH TRAFFIC & PARKING

DEVON COUNTY COUNCIL hereby give NOTICE that:

From **MONDAY 4 APRIL 2022**
for a maximum of 5 days

Until **FRIDAY 8 APRIL 2022** (both dates inclusive)

Between the hours of **19:00** and **07:00**

No person shall cause or permit any vehicle to proceed or wait on the sections of Affected Roads.

Roads affected -
A381, HALWELL , TOTNES CROSS TO LEIGH CROSS

The alternative, signed, route for vehicles will be via -
A381, A379, A3121, A38, A385, A381, AND VICE VERSA

This temporary restriction is considered necessary to enable -
ESSENTIAL CARRIAGEWAY REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE

For additional information contact:

WSP

Telephone: **01392 267500**

THE ABOVE WORKS ON THE A381 WILL TAKE PLACE EVERY MONDAY TO FRIDAY BETWEEN 19.00HRS AND 07.00HRS, COMMENCING ON 4TH APRIL AND FINISHING ON 20TH MAY

Devolution deal for Devon Plymouth & Torbay.

1. We are one of ten areas in England to be chosen.
2. We have been economically underperforming for years.
3. We are now negotiating a Devolution Deal that:

THURLESTONE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Parish Council Meeting

- a. Does not require a mayor
- b. Operates with existing local govt structure.
- c. Requires a combined authority

Functions primarily are Housing, Skills/employment, public transport, LEP existing functions, prosperity. It is hoped that negotiations will be concluded by winter 2022 and operational by April 2023.

Freeport / Freezone.

- 1) Will bring up to £100 Million investment and up to 3,500 jobs.
- 2) Relations/negotiations between DCC, Plymouth & SHDC are going well.
- 3) Submission/Business Case to Government imminent – due on 22nd April.
- 4) Involves 130 hectares of land at South Yard, Langleigh and Oceansgate.
- 5) It is important to demonstrate these are new jobs and not existing ones transferring in.

Cllr Gilbert expressed his dismay to see Cllrs Rhymes, Hurrell, Marshall and Mitchelmore leaving effective from May, he gave his congratulations for their long service to the community and wished them well.

REPORT IT:

Members of the public are requested to report all Highways issues through the Highways Website, enabling faster assessment of problem areas which can then be added to the repair/maintenance schedules. You can report potholes, overgrown vegetation, defective signs/lighting and much more.

<https://www.devon.gov.uk/roadsandtransport/report-a-problem/>

DISTRICT COUNCILLOR REPORTS:

Email Cllr Judy Pearce: cllr.judy.pearce@southhams.gov.uk

Email Cllr Mark Long: cllr.mark.long@southhams.gov.uk

- a. All District, County, Torbay Unitary and Plymouth Councils have bid for one of the nine county deals for devolution. The powers that will devolve down include housing, transport and skills, additional funding will come into the area from the government once a final agreement has been reached. One of the aims is to have a Devon voice for housing in the hope that the Government may take notice of the severity of the problems we have in respect of this issue. The first meeting of the housing taskforce will take place in May. It is shocking to note that the multiplier of house price to income in this area is currently just under that of London! Updates on the Devon deal will be provided at the next meeting, the final bid was submitted last Friday.
- b. There is a revision to the Joint Local Plan section Dev 32 in respect of climate change. This will enable measures to be put in place to ensure properties have air source heat pumps, 7kw vehicle charging points, solar panels on at least 40% of the roof space, reduced window areas and more habitat green space etc – the aim is to have a ground breaking policy that applies to all applications. It is anticipated that this will be in place from approximately July 2022 onwards.
- c. £285k will be received by SHDC for the Prop-tech engagement fund – this is in respect of a new Government planning initiative. Monies are for an interactive tool to explore how all aspects of community infrastructure are used by residents.
- d. Garden waste service (brown bins) are now being collected again. If you need to check when your next collection is, please see the South Hams District Council website. Simply enter your postcode to find your next collection day. As before, your brown bin will be picked up on alternate weeks to your black bin.
- e. Re the Freeport a report is available in the SHDC reports section on their website.
- f. A donation of £10k was approved to the disasters and emergency fund for Ukraine.
- g. The 56-day rule of the last two years has now reverted to the original 28-day rule. This is a Government ruling.

THURLESTONE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Parish Council Meeting

- h. A request will be made for the sweeper to cover the area down towards the Golf Club, Cllr Pearce will request they sweep all the way through.

At the end of the SHDC report Cllr Rhymes read out a resignation letter received from Cllr Hurrell, effective May 2022, he then confirmed that he would also be resigning at the May meeting

Cllr Pearce thanked Cllrs Rhymes, Hurrell, Marshall and Mitchelmore for their many years of service to the parish and wished them well with their future plans.

It takes two minutes to report a problem, please help keep our community beautiful.

<https://apps.southhams.gov.uk/webreportit>

Abandoned Vehicle, Damaged or Full Dog Bin, Damaged/Full Litter Bin, Damaged/Full Recycling Bank, Dead Animal, Dirty Beach, Fly Tipping, Litter/Dog Mess, Planning Breach, Stray Dogs, AND MORE...

28 Receipts & Payments – Month 13 & 1, see Appendix A:

a. **Receipts & Payments:** Month 13.

Accounts to pay – Clerk Salary & HMRC, Parish Hall Hire £14, Jubilee Bunting & Associated £183.35, SHDC PAYE £10, SHDC Public Toilet Repairs £190.45, SLCC Annual Fee £47.30, Flashbay water bottles £664, SHDC Public Toilets £4,052.40, DALC Renewal £201.22, SLCC Renewal £47.30, Custard Storm Productions £400, Cllr Crowther Expenses £124.29, Julian Lee Maintenance Fees £230

The Councillors unanimously resolved to accept the payments, a mandate sheet was produced and signed accordingly.

b. **Governance:**

1. Internal & External Audit: The External Audit details have now been received for 2021/22. The councillors resolved to give the clerk authority to find a new auditor with the appropriate skills and experience to undertake the Internal Audit.
 2. AGAR Review: A draft of the AGAR document is currently being compiled, the final version will be available for the May meeting. A full review of the assets is required in addition to the finances. Valuations in respect of assets are required for insurance purposes.
 3. Policy Review: Prior to the meeting the Councillors had all received copies of policies and procedures to review. The Councillors resolved to accept the documents, they will be uploaded to the website and reviewed annually.
 4. SLCC Clerk Training Event £85+VAT, the SLCC are offering a one-day course on 25th May which includes information and opportunities for the sector relating to reorganisation, localism and levelling up as well as examination of planning developments over the past year, how to create engagement strategies plus essential information to prepare for major events such as Operation London Bridge.
The Councillors resolved to fund this training for the clerk.
-

This was the last official meeting for Cllrs Nigel Hurrell, Charlie Mitchelmore and Kit Marshall, between them they have nearly seventy years of combined knowledge and it is no secret they will be greatly missed, in many respects they will be irreplaceable. On behalf of those present, Cllr Rhymes thanked them for all their time, support and achievements during their time with the council.

THURLESTONE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Parish Council Meeting

29 At 21.05hrs the Chairman thanked everyone for their attendance and declared the meeting closed.

Items noted for next agenda:

- Co-option of new councillors
- Zero emissions policy/ecology

30 2022 Meeting Dates:

9th May, 6th Jun, 4th Jul, 5th Sept, 3rd Oct, 7th Nov. Thurlestone Parish Hall 7.30pm

Signed as a true record: _____

Print Name & Date: _____

Agenda Items and Updates; where possible please submit to the Clerk one week prior to the meeting to ensure time for inclusion, circulation, and study. If a Council Member is unable to attend a meeting it would be appreciated if they could submit a brief précis of progress on their actions, if applicable, (to the Clerk for distribution) together with their apologies.

Distribution List : Cllrs Crowther, Hurrell, Marshall, Mitchelmore, Munn, Rhymes & Williams. **For Information to:** County Cllr R Gilbert, Dist. Cllr Judy Pearce, Dist. Cllr Mark Long, Thurlestone Parish Website: <https://www.thurlestoneparish.co.uk/>

APPENDIX A:

Month 13

Category	Descriptor	Date	Month No. of Payment approval	ban ked	Paid In	Paid Out	Cash Book Balance
Payments	Pennon Water Services	01/03/2022	12	Y	-	64.50	33,512.12
Payments	Kingsbridge RBL Poppy Appeal	01/03/2022	12	Y	-	18.50	33,493.62
Payments	EDF Energy	07/03/2022	12	Y	-	6.59	33,487.03
Payments	EDF Energy	09/03/2022	12	Y	-	9.00	33,478.03
Payments	Clerk Salary & Final Zoom Payment	10/03/2022	12	Y	-	514.39	32,963.64
Payments	P Martin P3 Expenses	15/03/2022	12	Y	-	20.00	32,943.64
Payments	Thurlestone Parish Hall	18/03/2022	12	Y	-	14.00	32,929.64
Payments	H. Nathanson Final Payment	29/03/2022	12	Y	-	95.76	32,833.88
Receipts	March Gross Interest	09/03/2022	12	Y	0.05		32,833.93
TOTALS YTD Financial year 2020/21					£ 40,504.95	-£ 31,464.07	£ 32,833.93
RECONCILIATION CASH BOOK TO BANK							£
Cash book balance b/d					FY 2021/22 month	13	£ 32,833.93
Revenue Accounts							
Unpresented Items					receipts	40,504.95	
					payments	- 31,464.07	
						£ 9,040.88	-
							Variance

THURLESTONE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Parish Council Meeting

Month 1 2022/23

Category	Descriptor	Date	Month No. of Payment approval	ban ked	Paid In	Paid Out	Cash Book Balance
Cash Book Balance b/f from last financial year							32,833.93
Payments	Pennon Water Sys - Public Toilets	01/04/2022	1	Y	-	64.50	32,769.43
							32,769.43
							32,769.43
TOTALS YTD Financial year 2022/23					£ -	-£ 64.50	£ 32,769.43
RECONCILIATION CASH BOOK TO BANK							£
Cash book balance b/d					FY 2022/23 month		1 £ 32,769.43
	Revenue Accounts						
	Unpresented Items				receipts	-	
					payments	- 64.50	
						-£ 64.50	
							Variance
RESERVED FUNDS:							
ACCOUNTS FOR PAYMENT & RATIFICATION							
					Clerk	Jan/Mar	-1364.97
£ 7,000.00	Public Toilets						
£ 1,000.00	Village Events & Assoc				Parish Hall Hire		-14.00
£ 6,215.00	Annual Loan Repayments				Jubilee Bunting/plates refund to Clerk		-183.35
£ 6,000.00	Repairs & Maintenance				SHDC PAYE Pro-rata payment		-10.00
£ 500.00	Election Costs				SHDC Toilet Maintenance/Repair		-190.45
£ 2,500.00	P3/Grass Cuts & Climate Related				SLCC Annual Subscription		-47.30
£ 500.00	Website updates				Custard Storm Productions		-400.00
£ 500.00	Computer Equipment & Related				Flashbay Water Bottles		-838.80
£ 500.00	Speed Signage				SHDC Public Toilet Cleaning		-4052.40
£ 500.00	Training - Cllrs/Clerk				DALC Renewal		-201.22
					Cllr Crowther Expenses		-124.29
					Julian Lee Grass Cutting		-230.00
£ 7,554.43	General funds				Meeting Sub Total		- 7,656.78
32,769.43	TOTAL						
Receipts & PAYMENTS REPORT TO COUNCIL							
MEETING DATE							
Prepared By:					04/04/2022		
					K Harrod for Thurlestone Parish Council		

Coronavirus (COVID-19) (/coronavirus) Latest updates and guidance

1. [Home \(https://www.gov.uk/\)](https://www.gov.uk/)
 2. [Environment \(https://www.gov.uk/environment\)](https://www.gov.uk/environment)
 3. [Rural and countryside \(https://www.gov.uk/environment/rural-and-countryside\)](https://www.gov.uk/environment/rural-and-countryside)
 4. [Countryside \(https://www.gov.uk/environment/countryside\)](https://www.gov.uk/environment/countryside)
 5. Landscapes review (National Parks and AONBs): government response (<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-government-response>)
- [Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs \(https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/department-for-environment-food-rural-affairs\)](#)

Policy paper

Landscapes review (National Parks and AONBs): government response

Published 15 January 2022

Contents

[Foreword](#)
[Introduction](#)
[The review](#)
[Terminology](#)
[Since publication of the review](#)
[Chapter 1: A more coherent national network](#)
[Chapter 2: Nature and climate](#)
[Chapter 3: People and place](#)
[Chapter 4: Supporting local delivery](#)
[Consultation](#)

response



© Crown copyright 2022

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3 or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: psi@nationalarchives.gov.uk.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-government-response/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-government-response>



Foreword

The last two years have demonstrated the benefit that people get from having access to nature-rich landscapes. Our National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONBs) have been a vital resource for so many of us, but it remains the case that they can be hard to reach. As we embark on our mission to level-up every part of the country, I want us to ask what more we can do to bring nature and people closer together.

I am enormously grateful to Julian Glover and the panel for their report, which comprehensively reviewed our National Parks and AONBs. We have an opportunity to create a new chapter for our protected landscapes, and this response will set out how we plan to do so. The work that we are taking forward is going to contribute to our commitment to protect 30% of our land by 2030 and boost biodiversity, as well as designating more areas of the country for their natural beauty.

Our protected landscapes must also be integrated into the design and development of Local Nature Recovery Strategies and our Environmental Land Management schemes. We have already launched our Farming in Protected Landscapes programme to help farmers based in National Parks or AONBs to make improvements to the natural environment and improve public access on their land.

Alongside boosting biodiversity, improving public access to our protected landscapes is a priority. Our levelling up agenda is about addressing inequality, and I am determined that our protected landscapes will be accessible to all, improve mental and physical wellbeing and support local economies. We will encourage sustainable tourism and national engagement programmes, supported by expanded ranger services and improved rural transport. Equally, where people don't respect our protected landscapes, we will ensure strengthened enforcement powers address antisocial behaviour and damage.

Our protected landscapes must be managed more consistently, but never at the expense of local input. What works for Dartmoor won't necessarily work for the Lake District – but they do share national challenges like climate change. That is why we will establish a new national landscapes partnership to coordinate the work of existing organisations at a national level but maintaining current levels of local input.

Working with National Parks and AONBs in the coming years, we will ensure our protected landscapes boost biodiversity; recognise their role in delivering Net Zero, protect us from flooding; store carbon; help communities adapt to the effects of climate change; improve the quality of people's lives and support rural economies.

Julian Glover's review highlighted a series of challenges facing our National Parks and AONBs but recognised that there are solutions and – most importantly – opportunities.

The package of measures set out in this response will rise to the challenge before us and leave our protected landscapes in a better condition for future generations.

The Rt Hon Lord Benyon

Parliamentary Under Secretary of State at the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

Introduction

All of England's landscapes are important, but National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONBs) are our most iconic and beautiful places. Based on their geology and history, these characteristic landscapes contain swathes of ancient woodland, deep peat and grassland, and many of our most threatened species such as the red squirrel, curlew and water vole. Protected landscapes represent our shared heritage and national identity, and are home to many of our rural communities and businesses. They also support our nation's health and wellbeing as unique places to experience natural beauty and tranquility.

Since our statutory system of protected landscapes was first established by Parliament in 1949, our society and the challenges it faces has changed. We must address climate change, biodiversity loss and increasing public health issues such as mental health and obesity. At the same time, our understanding of the value of the natural environment has vastly improved, particularly the public services it provides. That is why the government commissioned the Landscapes Review.

Reflecting on all these changes and the comprehensive findings of the review, we feel this is a moment to redefine the role that protected landscapes should play in today's society.

Our vision for protected landscapes is:

'A coherent national network of beautiful, nature-rich spaces that all parts of society can easily access and enjoy. Protected landscapes will support thriving local communities and economies, improve our public health and wellbeing, drive forward nature recovery, and build our resilience to climate change.'

The review represents an exciting new chapter in the history of our nation's most special places. The document sets out the government's response to the findings of the review and our proposed approach to achieving this vision for protected landscapes. It will involve changes to the way that we work together to manage and protect these places to ensure future generations inherit our protected landscapes in a better state than we found them.

The review

Julian Glover and the panel carried out a comprehensive review of our protected landscapes and made 27 wide-ranging proposals; this document sets out the government response to those proposals. We reference proposal numbers from the [review](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/designated-landscapes-national-parks-and-aonbs-2018-review) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/designated-landscapes-national-parks-and-aonbs-2018-review>) where relevant.

Implementing our response to some proposals will involve changes to primary legislation. We are consulting on those changes and include details of how to respond. There is also an opportunity for people to comment on all other aspects of the response. We are keen to hear from a broad range of society who are interested in the future of our protected landscapes.

Terminology

'Protected landscapes': For the purposes of this document, the areas designated as National Parks, the Broads, and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONBs) will be referred to collectively as 'protected landscapes'. In this document, references to 'National Parks' include the Broads.

'Lead partners': Responsibility for day-to-day management of these areas is led by different lead partners and organisations including National Park Authorities, the Broads Authority, and AONB teams. For the purposes of this document, these are referred to collectively as our 'lead partners'.

'AONB teams': For the purposes of this document, AONB Conservation Boards and AONB Partnerships hosted by local authorities will be referred to collectively as AONB teams.

Since publication of the review

A huge increase in visitors during the Coronavirus pandemic demonstrated the vital role protected landscapes have in supporting the nation's health and wellbeing. However, this experience also presented significant visitor management challenges, at times putting a huge strain on our lead partners and communities. This demonstrated that we do not currently have sufficient resources in place to fully meet public demand for our protected landscapes, particularly if we are to attract new and larger audiences.

Nature and climate

The Prime Minister has committed to [protect 30% of UK land for nature by 2030 \(30 by 30\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/pm-commits-to-protect-30-of-uk-land-in-boost-for-biodiversity) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/pm-commits-to-protect-30-of-uk-land-in-boost-for-biodiversity>), setting out our intention and ambition to deliver domestically on the 30 by 30 global goal we are advocating for under the Convention on Biological Diversity's (CBD) post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework. Despite being only one quarter of land cover, protected landscapes are home to nearly half of all priority habitats in England, including many of our most important sites for nature. Achieving 30 by 30 will rely on improvements in how these areas are protected and managed for nature recovery, as set out in this response to the review and the Nature Recovery Green Paper.

Natural England has set out an ambitious new [landscape designation programme](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/natural-england-announces-landmark-new-programme-for-protected-landscapes) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/natural-england-announces-landmark-new-programme-for-protected-landscapes>), helping us to implement Proposals 20-22. This includes considering the creation of two new AONBs in the Yorkshire Wolds and Cheshire Sandstone Ridge, and extensions to the Surrey Hills and Chilterns AONBs. The new programme, which includes undertaking an All-England Assessment, is exploring new approaches to improve landscapes for people and nature, particularly in and around towns and cities. It will enable a more collaborative process to designate new National Parks and AONBs.

We have launched the [Farming in Protected Landscapes \(FiPL\) programme](https://www.gov.uk/guidance/funding-for-farmers-in-protected-landscapes) (<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/funding-for-farmers-in-protected-landscapes>) to help our lead partners forge new or stronger relationships with farmers to deliver projects that support nature recovery, mitigate climate change, improve access, and support sustainable farm businesses. The programme is part of Defra's [Agricultural Transition Plan](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/agricultural-transition-plan-2021-to-2024) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/agricultural-transition-plan-2021-to-2024>) and should help shape the potential role that protected landscapes could have in the agricultural transition.

We have published our Net Zero Strategy which sets our ambition to use our land more effectively to tackle climate change, in which protected landscapes have a key role. The [England Trees Action Plan](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/england-trees-action-plan-2021-to-2024) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/england-trees-action-plan-2021-to-2024>) launched in May 2021 committed to treble tree planting rates in England by the end of this Parliament. This objective is supported by the Nature for Climate Fund worth more than £750 million across this parliament. As part of this, we are working with landowners, local authorities, and local communities to fund multiple large afforestation projects contributing to the aim of Proposal 20 that local people should be supported to create more wooded, accessible landscapes. This includes at least three new community forests located in areas of social and tree canopy deprivation and funding for planting in the North and Midlands through the Northern Forest and National Forest Company. The complementary England Peat Action Plan set out our government's long-term vision for the management, protection and restoration of our peatlands and committed to immediately fund at least 35,000 ha of peatland restoration by 2025. This includes a £2.7 million investment through the Nature for Climate Peatland Grant Scheme into the Great North Bog, a landscape approach to restoration across nearly 7,000km² of upland peat in the protected landscapes of northern England.

The 2021 Spending Review also announced a new government target to leverage at least £500 million a year for nature's recovery by 2027 and more than £1 billion a year by 2030. Designated landscapes have a major role to play in achieving, and benefitting from, this target.

Our lead partners have collectively pledged to address climate change and biodiversity loss at a national level. National Parks England (NPE) has set clear targets for climate mitigation and nature recovery through their [delivery plans](https://www.nationalparksengland.org.uk/home/about-national-parks-england/national-parks-collective-vision-and-priorities) (<https://www.nationalparksengland.org.uk/home/about-national-parks-england/national-parks-collective-vision-and-priorities>). Led by the National Association for AONBs, AONB teams are working to deliver the [Colchester Declaration](https://landscapesforlife.org.uk/projects/colchester-declaration) (<https://landscapesforlife.org.uk/projects/colchester-declaration>), which includes targets for habitat restoration and species recovery.

People and places

The review highlighted the disparities in society's access to nature, and its importance to wellbeing and reducing health inequalities, which was made much more apparent during the pandemic. The government is proud to support more diverse and inclusive engagement, such as the [Generation Green programme](https://www.yha.org.uk/generationgreen) (<https://www.yha.org.uk/generationgreen>), through our [Green Recovery Challenge Fund](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/40m-second-round-of-the-green-recovery-challenge-fund-opens-for-applications) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/40m-second-round-of-the-green-recovery-challenge-fund-opens-for-applications>). The government has also launched [green social prescribing pilots](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/new-sites-to-test-how-connecting-people-with-nature-can-improve-mental-health) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/new-sites-to-test-how-connecting-people-with-nature-can-improve-mental-health>) at several sites in or near protected landscapes, which will test how connecting people with nature can improve mental wellbeing and contribute to our implementation of Proposal 10.

NPE has recently published their 'Landscapes for Everyone' [delivery plan](https://www.newforestnpa.gov.uk/app/uploads/2020/12/Landscapes-for-Everyone-Delivery-Plan-FINAL.pdf) (<https://www.newforestnpa.gov.uk/app/uploads/2020/12/Landscapes-for-Everyone-Delivery-Plan-FINAL.pdf>), which outlines their commitment to enabling underrepresented communities to discover protected landscapes. We have already seen innovative projects at several National Parks including South Downs' bespoke [Health and Wellbeing strategy](https://www.southdowns.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/HWB_Strategy-Summary.pdf) (https://www.southdowns.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/HWB_Strategy-Summary.pdf), Exmoor's Families United in Nature Project, and North York Moors' lottery-funded [Explorer Club](https://www.northyorkmoors.org.uk/about-us/school-and-group-visits/families) (<https://www.northyorkmoors.org.uk/about-us/school-and-group-visits/families>).

Across the country, AONB teams are working to support constructive, creative engagement between the public and the landscapes, through for instance North Pennines AONB and Yorkshire Dale lottery-funded partnership on the [Tees-Swale: naturally connected programme](https://www.northpennines.org.uk/what_we_do/tees-swale-naturally-connected/) (https://www.northpennines.org.uk/what_we_do/tees-swale-naturally-connected/), Kent Downs AONB's work on informing [enhanced access](https://kentdowns.org.uk/our-projects/environmental-land-management-scheme/enhancing-access-opportunities/) (<https://kentdowns.org.uk/our-projects/environmental-land-management-scheme/enhancing-access-opportunities/>) and the Chilterns Conservation Board's [Chalk, Cherries and Chairs](https://www.chilternsaonb.org/projects/CCC.html) (<https://www.chilternsaonb.org/projects/CCC.html>) initiative. Additionally, as part of their commitment to reach a more diverse range of people, the UK's AONBs have worked with the Ginkgo Prize, the world's largest eco-poetry prize, to establish a [Best Poem of Landscape](https://landscapesforlife.org.uk/projects/Poetry-School-Partnership/Ginkgo-Prize) (<https://landscapesforlife.org.uk/projects/Poetry-School-Partnership/Ginkgo-Prize>) category.

Chapter 1: A more coherent national network

The review highlighted the opportunity to bring protected landscapes together to achieve 'more than the sum of their parts' by unifying the current system, providing more consistent national leadership, and setting a clear mission. These fundamental changes will underpin our ability to achieve our national vision for 'a coherent national network of beautiful, nature-rich spaces that all parts of society can easily access and enjoy'.

Strengthened AONBs

The review recognised the vast majority of AONBs are indistinguishable from National Parks and are just as important for people and nature but lack equivalent recognition in law or support in resources. Proposal 24 therefore called for "AONBs strengthened with new purposes, powers and resources, renamed as National Landscapes". The package of reformed purposes and resources set out in this response are relevant to all protected landscapes and lead partners. However, we agree that action needs to be a priority in AONBs in order to unlock their full potential in achieving our vision alongside our National Parks.

We agree that the national significance of our AONBs should be reflected in their name. We are currently working with the National Association for AONBs to identify the best way to exemplify the values which underpin this renewed family of protected landscapes in their branding. As part of that work, we are testing the proposal to rename AONBs as 'National Landscapes'. Any name change must represent a step change for AONB teams with the ambitious new title encompassing new purposes delivered by skilled teams, sustainable funding and robust governance. Pulled together as a package these proposals have the potential to deliver a transformational approach to AONB leadership and management.

Strategic direction

We agree with the finding of the review that we need stronger governance to provide national leadership and coordination, and to ensure that our lead partners in National Parks and AONBs collaborate much more effectively to achieve our vision. While Proposal 25 suggested creating a new 'National Landscapes Service', we do not believe that this should be a new public body, as this will simply create another organisation within an already complex governance system, at the cost of great public expense and disruption to the important work of our lead partners. Having carefully considered this proposal, we believe that national governance reforms should be focussed on ensuring that our existing partners work together more effectively at a national level.

We will therefore establish a new national landscapes partnership to build on the existing collaboration between National Parks England and the National Association for AONBs, complemented by roles for the National Trails and National Parks Partnerships. This partnership should:

- generate additional private income through green finance initiatives and joint funding bids
- champion protected landscapes and run national campaigns, such as promoting tourism
- develop strategic partnerships and programmes with a particular focus on commercial partners
- create opportunities to provide training and development
- share knowledge and expertise to build capacity across the protected landscapes family

We are working with partners to develop the structure of this new partnership over the coming months.

Defra will provide clearer strategic direction for protected landscapes through a new national landscape strategy. This will set out a clear national framework to guide the development of plans and programmes by the national landscapes partnership and help to inform the development of local management plans. This is explained further in Chapters 2 and 4.

Natural England's role as our statutory advisor on England's landscapes will be reinvigorated to support national landscapes to better recover nature and provide good quality access to it. It will advise all relevant parts of government, at the local and national levels, on the appropriate management and protection of protected landscapes. It will also have a clear role in monitoring progress of delivering the national landscapes strategy through local management plans and delivery. This is explained in Chapters 2 and 4.

A unified mission

The review highlighted the fundamental importance of the statutory purposes of protected landscapes in shaping the decisions that follow, including government policy, funding, and decision-making. Chapters 2 and 3 set out the specific changes to the statutory purposes that we intend to make to ensure they are aligned with our vision for protected landscapes. Given that National Parks and AONBs are equally important parts of our vision, we will also ensure that their statutory purposes are more closely aligned. This will bring greater consistency in how these areas are protected and managed.

We will obviously need to consider the effects of any changes to statutory purposes separately for the Broads, which has a third statutory purpose in relation to navigation.

Chapter 2: Nature and climate

Protected landscapes have enormous potential to deliver on our environmental ambitions, including the 25 Year Environment Plan goals, Environment Act 2021 forthcoming targets, and reaching net zero. Despite being less than one-quarter of land cover, protected landscapes are home to nearly half of all priority habitats in England, including 60% of deep peat, 34% of broadleaf woodland and nearly 88% of heather and acid grassland habitats (Natural England data).

Climate change may mean that our protected landscapes look different in the future. This challenge requires us to consider the need to manage them differently, not just to conserve and enhance them, but to also play new roles in helping to both reduce our net emissions and enable nature and our communities to adapt to the unavoidable effects of climate change. The government's Net Zero strategy set out the importance of making the most of our natural resources to tackle climate change, including better use of our land to deliver nature-based solutions.

Despite the considerable efforts of our lead partners, the review highlighted that nature has been in long-term decline in our protected landscapes, and they are not contributing as they could to restore nature and respond to climate change. More action is clearly needed to make these special places bigger, better and more joined up spaces for nature, and to help tackle climate change and adapt to its impacts.

This chapter sets out how we will put our protected landscapes at the heart of delivering our nature recovery and climate policies and show leadership on the international stage for how this can be achieved. Our approach will ensure our protected landscapes contribute to our vision to 'drive forward nature recovery, and build our resilience to climate change'.



The Nature Recovery Network and 30 by 30

The Nature Recovery Network aims to join up and make space for nature across England. Given their spatial scale, and track records in planning and delivering landscape-scale restoration projects, protected landscapes could play a particularly important role in the delivery of the Nature Recovery Network (Proposal 4).

Local Nature Recovery Strategies (LNRSs) will provide the underpinning framework for the Nature Recovery Network and will provide the focus for a broad range of funding and delivery activities. We will explore ways for protected landscapes to support responsible authorities in preparing and delivering LNRSs, utilising their expertise to highlight landscape-scale opportunities within protected landscapes and embedding links with their statutory management plans so they align. This role will help to ensure neighbouring LNRSs set out coherent, ambitious strategies for nature recovery across whole landscapes that cross administrative boundaries.

Our Nature Recovery Green Paper will set out how we aim to achieve our goal to protect 30% of our land for nature by 2030. At present, under their current statutory purposes, level of protection and management, protected landscapes cannot be said to contribute towards 30 by 30 in their entirety, and they must do more to drive the recovery of nature. Applying our framework for what should count to 30 by 30 as set out in our Nature Recovery Green Paper will allow us to identify and prioritise where reform and investment are most needed in our protected landscapes. However, this will also require lead partners and their local partnerships to step up to this challenge. We want all protected landscapes to have clear visions for nature recovery but these must also collectively make a major contribution to national nature recovery outcomes. By strengthening the first purpose for nature, supporting stronger protections and management and monitoring progress, we will ensure these areas can contribute to this ambitious commitment for biodiversity and our wider nature recovery ambitions.

A stronger mission for nature recovery

We agree with Proposal 1 that the current statutory purpose to 'conserve and enhance' is not strong enough. This does not reflect that many of our existing landscapes are now badly degraded, or the urgency of the fight to tackle biodiversity loss. We will therefore strengthen this purpose, making it clear that we need to actively recover nature in these areas, rather than simply conserve what remains. A strengthened purpose for nature would also be more closely aligned with national policy objectives, increase the weight given to nature recovery by public bodies, and reinforce that these areas should contribute to our target to halt the decline in species abundance by 2030 and our 30 by 30 commitment.

We support the intention of the wording proposed by the panel. We propose to amend the current statutory purpose so that:

- a core function of protected landscapes should be to drive nature recovery
- a revised purpose should be more specific with regards to nature outcomes and explicitly mention biodiversity
- the principle of natural capital should also be included to capture the societal value of nature in our protected landscapes and encompass a broader range of ecosystem services.

As explained in Chapter 1, we also intend to create a single set of statutory purposes for AONB teams and National Park Authorities, providing a more consistent and unified statutory framework for all protected landscapes. We will carefully consider any changes to this statutory purpose for the Broads, which has a third statutory purpose in relation to navigation, while the 'Sandford Principle' does not apply.

As per the Environment Act 1995, the Sandford Principle states that, where there is a conflict between the statutory purposes of national parks, any relevant authority "shall attach greater weight to the purpose of conserving and enhancing the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the area comprised in the National Park".

For details of how to provide your views on this issue, see our [consultation on implementing the review](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review>).

Setting ambition and monitoring progress

We agree with proposals 2 and 3 that improving our monitoring and reporting in protected landscapes will help us to understand the state of nature and prioritise action towards our desired environmental outcomes.

By January 2023, new ambitious outcomes will be agreed for the role of protected landscapes in delivering on the government's goals for nature recovery and climate, aligned with the revised 25 Year Environment Plan and interim environmental targets under the Environment Act 2021 and the Net Zero Strategy. Natural England will monitor and evaluate progress against the key indicators and outcomes and will also support individual protected landscapes to translate these targets into their management plans.

We are developing the Natural Capital and Ecosystem Assessment (NCEA), which will provide data on habitats, natural capital, and ecosystem function. This will help to monitor progress against agreed outcomes. Combining earth observation technology and data science with professional field surveys and citizen science, this tool will inform the priorities of protected landscapes, including flood risk reduction, boosts to wildlife, water air quality improvements, and opportunities for biodiversity net gain. Ambitious goals to increase carbon sequestration, together with improved natural capital reporting, should be embedded in all protected landscapes' management plans. Management plans should also set out their local response to climate adaptation, managing long-term landscape change to increase the resilience of local communities and ecosystems.

Agricultural transition

Proposal 5 makes the case for the special significance of protected landscapes to be reflected in environmental land management schemes. Recognising the distinct status of protected landscapes as part of the Agricultural Transition Plan, the EiPL programme has already demonstrated the value of the knowledge and expertise our lead partners can offer when developing and delivering agri-environment schemes.

We will build on lessons from the EiPL programme to develop the new environmental land management schemes. We are considering a number of options for how the special status of protected landscapes can be reflected in environmental land management schemes' design and delivery. These could include:

- designing the environmental land management schemes in a way that works for all farmers and land managers, including the specific circumstances for those in protected landscapes, recognising that farmers in these areas are well-placed to deliver on our environmental priorities
- using Local Nature Recovery Strategies to identify projects or habitats within protected landscapes
- monitoring the effectiveness and uptake of the new environmental land management schemes in protected landscapes. Using this to inform whether further interventions are needed to ensure we are on track for wider nature recovery ambitions
- creating a clear role for protected landscape organisations in the preparation of Local Nature Recovery Strategies. Our recent LNRS consultation specifically asks for views on the role of different organisations in the preparation of LNRSs, including protected landscapes
- building on EiPL, empowering protected landscapes to support decision-making and delivery against agreed priorities, including through dedicated project coordinators and advisers

Defra will be working closely with partners and stakeholders to develop these options further to ensure we settle on the right one for all parties, and there will be more opportunities to feed into environmental land management schemes design as it progresses.

For details of how to provide your views on this issue, see our [consultation on implementing the review](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review>).

Chapter 3: People and place

The review highlighted the opportunities for protected landscapes to deliver for everyone so that the benefits for health and wellbeing are available to all parts of society especially considering the need to reduce health inequalities. Changes are needed to improve access and support local economies in order to achieve our vision for protected landscapes to 'support thriving local communities and economies, improve our public health and wellbeing'.

Landscapes for everyone

The review included proposals to increase engagement with all parts of society, particularly younger and more diverse audiences (proposals 8 and 9), through expanded volunteering (proposal 11), supported by increased rangers (proposal 13). Programmes such as [Generation Green](https://www.yha.org.uk/generationgreen) (<https://www.yha.org.uk/generationgreen>) demonstrate that national-scale partnerships and coordinated collaboration can augment what our lead partners are already doing so well. We are therefore aiming to establish a national coordination function through the new national landscapes partnership that can work with our lead partners to enhance and expand community engagement through national strategic partnerships and collaborative campaigns. This could expand their collective capacity to plan and promote events, programmes and volunteering opportunities that focus on connecting young people with nature, increasing the ethnic and socio-economic diversity of visitors, and aiding people with disabilities to enjoy our protected landscapes.

We will also consider using the powers under the Agriculture Act and resources under the Farming in Protected Landscapes Fund to support or reward landowners for offering enhanced access to their land in some circumstances.

We are also actively developing opportunities to work across government to strengthen the role that protected landscapes can play in supporting the country's health, wellbeing, and education. We are seeking ways to:

- work strategically with the Probation Service's community payback scheme
- support capacity building in schools to engage with nature
- enable protected landscapes to deliver for green social prescribing provision

Additionally, we recognise that rangers are fundamental to enhancing and harnessing the benefits that protected landscapes offer. We will seek ways to increase the number of rangers engaging with people in protected landscapes.

A stronger mission for connecting people and places

We agree with proposal 7, that a strengthened second statutory purpose for National Park Authorities would clarify and reinvigorate our lead partners' ambition to connect all parts of society with our protected landscapes. As explained in Chapter 1, we intend to create a single set of statutory purposes for ~~AONB~~ teams and National Parks Authorities, providing a more consistent and unified statutory framework for all protected landscapes. We therefore agree that this strengthened purpose should be extended to ~~AONBs~~ teams.

We support the intention of the wording proposed by the panel. We propose to amend the current statutory purpose to:

- highlight the need to improve opportunities and remove barriers to access for all parts of society
- clearly reference public health and wellbeing as an outcome
- take a more active role in supporting access than just promoting opportunities

For details of how to provide your views on this issue, see our [consultation on implementing the review](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review>).

Supporting local communities

Proposal 17 suggested creating a new statutory purpose to foster the economic and community vitality of their area. However National Park Authorities, ~~AONB~~ Conservation Boards and the Broads Authority already have a statutory duty that relates to the economic and social well-being of local communities. Local authorities hosting ~~AONB~~ Partnerships also have existing statutory responsibilities to consider the rural economy. We also consider that there are risks that introducing a third purpose could dilute the importance of the existing purposes and have unintended outcomes such as impacts on future designations.

We recognise the importance of supporting rural communities and share the panel's desire to support vibrant local communities, however we do not consider that a new statutory purpose is the appropriate policy to achieve that objective. Instead, we will support our lead partners to discharge their existing duties effectively and consistently through government guidance and sharing best practice. The government will also support local communities through programmes such as the ~~FEL~~ programme, which is helping farmers capitalise on the many social and financial benefits that the Visitor Economy generates in protected landscapes.

Sustainable transport

In relation to proposal 19, the government supports the Lake District National Park Authority and Cumbria County Council developing new sustainable ways to access the National Park that may transform public transport in the area and become a blueprint for other protected landscapes.

Many protected landscapes require bespoke transport arrangements. For example, Peak District National Park Authority, with South Yorkshire Combined Authority and Derbyshire County Council, are to consider new types of Demand Responsive Transport (DRT) services. Local authorities should consider opportunities such as these as part of their wider transport plans. The government is updating guidance around Local Transport Plans, which will reinforce the need for local authorities to consult appropriately with key stakeholders including National Park Authorities and Destination Management Organisations (~~DMOs~~). Our proposals to strengthen the statutory purposes of protected landscapes and strengthen the duty of regard (Chapter 4) should increase the weight local authorities give to supporting local rural communities and the public's enjoyment of protected landscapes through their transport plans.

Open access land

Proposal 16 recommends expanding open access rights to provide additional recreational opportunities. We aim to review the open access maps to clarify rights and inform any further consideration of expanding open access rights. We will also continue to pay for heritage, access and engagement through our existing schemes and we will consider how to maintain investment in these areas as part of future schemes. In parallel, we will also explore the barriers that may exist to the provision of permissive access by landowners and seek to remedy these.

National Trails

We agree with proposal 15 that National Trails should be more joined up with our protected landscapes, particularly in the planning and delivery of initiatives to improve access to the natural environment. A new charity is being formed as a single, strategic body for all National Trails. This will unify and strengthen their voice and help to integrate the work of our lead partners. We are exploring the potential to include the National Trails charity as a member of the new national landscapes partnership (see Chapter 1).

Sustainable tourism

The government's [Tourism Recovery Plan](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/tourism-recovery-plan) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/tourism-recovery-plan>) recognises that the government has a role in helping the tourism industry play its part in contributing to the conservation and enhancement of cultural, natural and historic heritage, and avoiding damage to the environment. VisitBritain/VisitEngland are celebrating and sharing sustainable best practice and working with the sector to put the UK's natural environment, including our protected landscapes at the heart of the country's brand proposition. To identify and deliver further ways to help the industry to grow back greener, we have also committed to producing a Sustainable Tourism Plan, working with the wider Visitor Economy sector and VisitBritain/VisitEngland, and will be engaging with representatives from the protected landscapes to help inform that plan.

Managing visitor pressures

Since the review was published, rangers in protected landscapes have observed increased visitor numbers and an increase in anti-social and hostile behaviour. In response, Natural England has revised the [Countryside Code](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-countryside-code/the-countryside-code-advice-for-countryside-visitors) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-countryside-code/the-countryside-code-advice-for-countryside-visitors>), and run a communications campaign to improve people's understanding of the countryside and promote socially and environmentally responsible behaviours. However, providing visitors with clearer information has not been sufficient to fully address these ongoing issues.

We are therefore considering making a greater range of enforcement powers available to National Park Authorities and the Broads Authority to help manage visitor pressures and make National Parks a more pleasant and safe place to live and visit. These are powers to:

- issue Fixed Penalty Notices for byelaw infringements - this would simplify the process and reduce enforcement costs. Increasing the penalties would also act as a stronger deterrent and provide reassurance to local communities
- make Public Space Protection Orders ([PSPOs](#)) – this would reduce administration costs where multiple local authorities have jurisdiction across a National Park and ensure there is a consistent approach where [PSPOs](#) are deemed necessary to deter genuinely antisocial behaviour. This would only be used following consultation with LAs
- issue Traffic Regulation Orders ([TROs](#)) to control the amount and type of traffic on roads – this would help to tackle and deter problems caused by vehicles that could lead to damage to sensitive environments or wildlife and allow National Park Authorities to respond more quickly to emerging traffic issues

Some country public rights of way and unsealed unclassified roads known as 'green lanes' allow for the legal recreational use of motor vehicles. Whilst many users make use of these rights in a responsible way, we have become increasingly aware of damage and disturbance caused by excessive use of off-road motor vehicles on some unsealed routes. This can result in impacts on local wildlife, the special qualities of an area e.g., tranquillity, and make the route less accessible for other users such as those on foot, bicycles, horseback, or in vehicles used by disabled people. In protected landscapes, these impacts could undermine the statutory purposes of the area.

We are also aware that these unsealed routes often provide essential vehicular access for local residents and businesses, and recognise that many people enjoy using motor vehicles responsibly on green lanes without causing damage or disturbance. Vulnerable groups such as disabled or elderly people are also likely to be particularly reliant on vehicular access in rural areas including via community transport.

We therefore would like to explore the options available for protecting our green lanes while maintaining most public and private access rights, particularly for residents or businesses. This could be achieved by giving greater discretion for National Park Authorities and local highway authorities to use existing powers to restrict use on a case-by-case basis. Alternatively, the government could consider restricting the use of certain motor vehicles on unsealed roads through legislation, but only if this could carefully balance the needs of all users including motorised vehicle users, horse riders, cyclists and walkers, whilst also protecting private access rights.

We will also continue to work to manage visitor pressures through visitor dispersal. A key objective in the government's Tourism Recovery Plan is for visitor spending to grow year on year in every nation and region of the UK, not only within but beyond the usual tourist 'hotspots' to smaller, lesser-known destinations - including the lesser-visited protected landscapes. For example, we have supported this through many [Discover England Fund](https://www.visitbritain.org/discover-england-fund-year-5-recovery-funding) (<https://www.visitbritain.org/discover-england-fund-year-5-recovery-funding>) projects, which are well suited to meet the renewed interest in outdoor experiences and showcase lesser-known destinations.

For details of how to provide your views on this issue, see our [consultation on implementing the review](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review>).

Planning reform

A strong and effective planning system must sustainably balance protections with supporting local communities and economies. This balancing exercise must be carried out differently in protected landscapes, to ensure their statutory purposes and special qualities are meaningfully protected. This involves giving greater weight to their special qualities in planning policies, procedures, and decisions. For example, the recent revision of the [National Planning Policy Framework \(NPPF\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework-2) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework-2>) (2021) clarified that development in the setting of protected landscapes should be sensitively located and designed to avoid or minimise adverse impacts.

As we consider planning reforms, we recognise the special role that protected landscapes hold within the planning system and will continue to explore opportunities for how this role could be developed further. An integral part of reviewing the planning reforms is considering how they align with and support our wider mission to level up the country and regenerate left-behind places.

As part of planning reform, we intend to review the [NPPF](#), and we will further consider how policy for protected landscapes is set out. The Environment Act 2021 will also embed a biodiversity net gain approach into the planning system in England. This new requirement to offset unavoidable impacts of development will create new opportunities to conserve and enhance habitats and ecological networks, including within protected landscapes.

The role of [AONB](#) teams in planning

The review highlighted the important role of the National Park Authorities and the Broads Authority in delivering high-quality, sustainable development through effective use of their planning powers. Their local plans have an important role to play in achieving our vision, providing certainty to businesses and communities, offering opportunities to connect habitats and wildlife, and driving action on climate change.

AONB teams also make a valuable contribution to the planning process through a range of tools including evidence gathering and issuing of planning and design advice to inform plan-making and planning applications. This can contribute to the delivery of good quality development in keeping with local character and meeting the AONB teams' purpose. However, the review found that AONB teams do not always have the resources to meaningfully engage with the planning system, and their advice is sometimes given limited weight in planning decisions. Proposal 6 therefore suggested that their role in the planning system should be strengthened.

We recognise that AONB teams can bring substantial evidence and expertise to the planning process, and we wish to seek views on how the AONB teams can achieve better outcomes through the plan-making process.

The review also identified strong support for AONB teams to be granted statutory consultee status for planning applications. Whilst we acknowledge the resource implications this would place on AONB teams, we recognise the benefit of further strengthening their role and are seeking views on this potential change.

For details of how to provide your views on this issue, see our [consultation on implementing the review](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review>).

Permitted development

The review also highlighted that certain permitted development rights may impact landscape quality, and proposal 6 suggested a review of existing rights.

We recognise that permitted development rights can play an important role in delivering new homes, particularly in rural areas. This benefits householders and businesses. We will continue to monitor the use of permitted development rights in protected landscapes, and identify future opportunities to review their use.

Affordable housing

We share the concerns raised in the review regarding the availability of affordable homes to support sustainable communities in protected landscapes. However, this issue is not unique to protected landscapes, and as such our policy response considers all rural areas.

Existing rural housing associations are already helping to increase the supply of new and affordable homes in protected landscapes. We do not believe that a new, publicly funded housing association specifically for protected landscapes recommended under proposal 18 would be any more effective at targeting the underlying challenges of finding suitable and economically viable small sites in these areas. Indeed, a new housing association could even harm the viability of existing rural housing associations.

We are instead progressing alternative means to deliver suitable housing for local communities in rural areas, including protected landscapes. For example:

- Rural Exception Sites deliver affordable housing in perpetuity to meet local needs in rural areas. When used effectively, these sites can provide vital affordable housing for local communities. We have recently published planning practice guidance to help those involved in the process to bring forward more of these sites in the future
- Homes England's funding prospectus for the new 2021-26 Affordable Homes Programme continues to support the delivery of rural housing



Chapter 4: Supporting local delivery

Achieving our vision will rely on effective local delivery, driven and directed by our lead partners, providing local leadership and working within strong local partnerships. While the review praised the excellent work and commitment of our lead partners, it also made some proposals to improve local delivery. This chapter sets out how we will boost local delivery of outcomes through changes to governance, financing and legislation.

Local governance

We need local governance that creates the conditions for consistently high standards of collaboration towards shared strategic priorities but that is flexible enough to adapt to local circumstances. Local governance structures should create a careful balance of democratic accountability, essential skills, and diversity of experience.

National Park Authorities, the Broads Authority and AONB Conservation Boards

Board members bring time, energy and expertise. Despite the passion and commitment of these individuals, the review (proposal 26) found that these boards do not always function as well as they could, sometimes due to the restrictive legislation they operate within. To support boards to deliver their full potential, we are developing a flexible package of statutory and non-statutory measures to achieve the following improvements, which we will develop in consultation with board members.

Improved performance

Setting clear performance standards and agreed expectations will get the best out of board members and deliver better outcomes. This could include a standard role profile, a shared code of conduct, regular skills audits, and improved training. To empower boards to address poor performance, these measures should be supplemented by performance reviews, fixed-term appointments, and a streamlined process for removing underperforming members.

Strengthened local partnerships

We would like to see greater integration of advisory panels into the development and implementation of statutory management plans by providing specialist expertise and ensuring local voices are heard on decisions that impact local communities.

Skilled, diverse and representative boards

We agree that for protected landscapes to benefit all parts of society, their boards must better reflect that society. The review highlighted a need for greater diversity, and we have begun to address this through improvements to the Secretary of State public appointments process. This has significantly increased the proportion of candidates who are female and/or from an ethnic minority background. There is still more to do, and we will continue to embed diversity, equity, and inclusion best practices into our public appointments.

While we are seeing positive change in our national appointments, these comprise a minority of the overall board members. We disagree with proposal 26 that all members be appointed nationally given the important role locally elected members play in giving the boards democratic legitimacy. Instead, we are considering removing the strict legislative requirements for a specific ratio between appointment types. Boards would still need national, parish, and local authority members but they would have more flexibility to balance diversity and expertise with strong democratic oversight in accordance with the needs of their specific area.

Another option would be to introduce a more merit-based approach to local nominations, encouraging local authorities to put forward their best candidates considering similar desirable criteria as Secretary of State appointees. This would retain vital democratic accountability while helping to identify the best local representatives to create engaged, diverse, and appropriately skilled boards.

Improved efficiency

Reducing board sizes would simplify decision-making processes, boost efficiency and follow best practice governance models. Proposal 26 recommended capping boards at 12 members, but this may not be appropriate in areas with large numbers of local authorities. We are already in discussion with a number of National Park Authorities about potential board reductions on a case-by-case basis. Reductions should not be at the expense of the skills, expertise and diversity needed. In cases where a large board is necessary or advantageous, clear guidance on structuring and organization may boost efficiency.

Strategic alignment

Currently, boards select a chair from amongst their members. Proposal 26 suggested that the chair should be appointed by the Secretary of State, in line with Defra's public bodies, which we believe could provide greater continuity, strategic direction, and accountability.

For details of how to provide your views on this issue, see our [consultation on implementing the review](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review>).

AONB Partnerships

Although proposal 26 focussed on National Park Authorities the Broads Authority and AONB Conservation Boards, many of the issues highlighted in the review are relevant to AONB partnerships. Government has less direct influence over governance structures hosted by local authorities, but we want to encourage positive reforms.

Natural England will replace the former Countryside Agency guidance for AONB Partnerships, to set out clear governance principles, processes, and structures that local authorities would be expected to follow. This guidance will be flexible enough to be adapted to local circumstances but would aim to improve consistency, performance, and transparency. To ensure a high level of uptake and incentivise positive reforms, we could include conditions in our grant agreements, requiring evidence that this guidance has been applied to local governance structures and processes.

Management plans

Proposal 3 called for strengthened management plans which set clear priorities and actions for nature recovery and the response to climate change. Our proposed national landscapes strategy will set the national ambition for the expected contribution of protected landscapes towards nature recovery and climate mitigation and adaptation, along with other key goals such as access and community engagement. This will help to align local management plans with relevant national policies and targets such as the goals of the 25 Year Environment Plan and net zero. Natural England will review all revised management plans, ensuring that these make fair and ambitious contributions. To facilitate this new process, Natural England will also update their guidance on management plans for protected landscapes.

Natural England will produce an outcomes framework, provide annual reporting to track progress against the outcomes, and advise on where further action is needed. We will also ensure clear alignment with Local Nature Recovery Strategies, to facilitate delivery of priority nature recovery actions without duplication. As we look to strengthen management plans, we will also consider how best to ensure a smooth transition so that valuable work is not lost.

A clearer role for public bodies

Public bodies have a huge influence on the protection and management of protected landscapes through their policies, programmes, projects, authorisations, and land management practices. It is therefore essential that they take account of the statutory purposes and the relevant management objectives when making decisions relating to protected landscapes, whilst carefully balancing this with the needs of other legitimate land uses such as forestry, agriculture or defence.

Proposal 3 highlighted that the existing duties for public bodies to 'have regard' to the statutory purposes are too weak. The vagueness of the duties can lead to disagreements about their interpretation and allow damaging practices to occur. We therefore propose strengthening the wording of these statutory duties so that they are given greater weight when exercising public functions.

The current duties are also not clear that public bodies are expected to contribute to the delivery of management plans, which can lead to the underperformance of key partners and under-delivery of management plan objectives. The wording should also be made clearer with regards to the role of public bodies in preparing and implementing management plans.

The government will produce guidance for public bodies on the application of the strengthened duties, making it clearer when and how it should be discharged in respect of public functions. These changes would help avoid disputes, reduce damaging practices, and lead to much more effective management of our protected landscapes.

For details of how to provide your views on this issue, see our [consultation on implementing the review](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review) (<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review>).

Sustainable financing

We are proposing an ambitious new vision for our protected landscapes, but the scale of this ambition must be matched by equivalent resources to ensure effective delivery, particularly in ~~AONB~~ teams. We support the principle of proposal 27 that we need to pursue a new funding model to deliver increased and more diverse sources of funding, building on the progress that is already being made in this area.

The government's core grant is essential to supporting our lead partners. We agree with the review's proposal that the grant allocation model should be reviewed to ensure transparency. We have already increased the grant settlement for ~~AONB~~ teams by almost £1 million (15%) for the current financial year, however there is relatively limited scope to increase the core grant by the scale suggested in the review, or to provide longer funding settlements that extend beyond a spending review period. Therefore, the core grant does not provide the opportunity to increase funding to the scale needed to deliver our vision.

There has been increasing interest in private and blended financing models for nature recovery and nature-based solutions, and we believe that this area provides significant opportunities to lever more investment into protected landscapes. The government has recently set an ambitious new target to raise at least £500 million in private finance to support nature's recovery every year by 2027 in England, rising to more than £1 billion by 2030. Much of this could be generated through the sale or trade via environmental markets of the various benefits nature provides – from carbon sequestration to improved water quality. We are working with industry leaders, such as the Financing Nature Recovery Coalition, to understand how to accelerate these markets, whilst ensuring transparency, integrity and the delivery of real environmental improvement. We are already supporting a number of nature projects in protected landscapes to attract private investment through our [Natural Environment Investment Readiness Fund \(https://www.gov.uk/government/news/innovative-nature-projects-awarded-funding-to-drive-private-investment\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/innovative-nature-projects-awarded-funding-to-drive-private-investment), as well as developing a public-private blended impact fund.

Some of our lead partners have already started to attract private finance into protected landscapes, such as the National Parks Partnership [Net Zero With Nature \(https://www.nationalparks.uk/net-zero-with-nature/\)](https://www.nationalparks.uk/net-zero-with-nature/) pilot programme. By learning from projects such as these, and providing the right support, data and expertise, we want to scale up and accelerate these approaches to unlock the economic value of the natural and cultural/heritage capital of our protected landscapes. We want the national landscapes partnership to build capacity to generate additional income through green finance initiatives and joint funding bids. This should include a dedicated national finance team with the right expertise to coordinate our lead partners to design a pipeline of investment-ready projects and maximise the value of investment for our lead partners and landowners. This has the potential to revolutionise the scale of resources available to support the delivery of our vision, particularly for nature and climate.

Protected landscapes have a strong national, and in some cases international, recognition as sites of exceptional environmental importance, natural beauty, and cultural heritage. It is this that drives an estimated 270 million visitors a year from the UK and overseas. The Lake District alone, a UNESCO World Heritage Site, received 19.9 million visitors in 2019. Despite this, evidence gathered during the review indicates that average commercial income of National Park Authorities and the Broads Authority other than fees for chargeable activities such as planning, parking and navigation, is understood to have been in the region of half a million pounds per annum each. This presents a huge, missed opportunity to date but also means there is a significant, largely untapped opportunity to be taken. We expect protected landscapes, individually and collectively, to develop and harness the commercial and sponsorship opportunities provided by their unique brand identity. Driving this agenda should be a key objective of the new national landscapes partnership, which should publish a commercial strategy within a year of being established and target a minimum of five new flagship partnerships across the network by 2025.

General power of competence

National Park Authorities and the Broads Authority currently have specific powers to carry out activities clearly related to their statutory functions. However, this can create uncertainty around the activities that they can legally undertake, particularly related to commercial operations and partnerships. Given that we would like our lead partners to fully explore the commercial opportunities arising from green finance (described above), we do not want them to be constrained by this limited power of competence.

We are considering broadening the legal competence of National Park Authorities and the Broads Authority to a more general power, similar to that of local authorities. We believe this would support a more innovative and proactive role for the protected landscapes and reduce legal risks associated with a wider range of activities such as affordable housing, public health, and sustainable transport, or working beyond their boundaries.

For details of how to provide your views on this issue, see our [consultation on implementing the review \(https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review).

Consultation

Implementing some aspects of our response to the review will require changes to legislation, subject to securing parliamentary time. We are seeking public views on support for these proposed legislative changes, and their potential effects on different groups and interests. We are also interested to hear any wider views on other aspects of our response to the review.

See our [consultation on implementing the review \(https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/landscapes-review-national-parks-and-aonbs-implementing-the-review) for more information.



All content is available under the [Open Government Licence v3.0](#), except where otherwise stated

© Crown copyright

Response ID ANON-WMVF-CU81-1

Submitted to Government response to the Landscapes Review
Submitted on 2022-03-26 19:05:16

About you

1 Do you want your responses to be confidential?

No

If yes, please give your reason::

2 What is your name?

Name:
Sue Crowther

3 What is your email address?

Email:
suecrowther@hotmail.co.uk

4 Where are you located?

South West

5 Which of the following do you identify yourself as?

Other

If other, please identify below::
Parish Councillor, Thurlestone Parish Council, South Hams, Devon

A stronger mission for nature recovery

6 Should a strengthened first purpose of protected landscapes follow the proposals set out in Chapter 2?

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer: :

The current statutory purpose to 'conserve and enhance' needs to be stronger. Our protected landscapes are at risk and must be better managed to include a stronger mission for nature recovery and biodiversity loss.

7 Which other priorities should be reflected in a strengthened first purpose e.g. climate, cultural heritage?

Please give us your views:

Climate and cultural heritage should also be reflected in an effort to have a better coordinated strategy for managing protected landscapes.

Agricultural transition

8 Do you support any of the following options as we develop the role of protected landscapes in the new environmental land management schemes? Tick all that apply.

Designing the environmental land management schemes in a way that works for all farmers and land managers, including the specific circumstances for those in protected landscapes, recognising that farmers in these areas are well-placed to deliver on our environmental priorities., Using Local Nature Recovery Strategies to identify projects or habitats within protected landscapes. , Monitoring the effectiveness and uptake of the new environmental land management schemes in protected landscapes. Using this to inform whether further interventions are needed to ensure we are on track for wider nature recovery ambitions., Creating a clear role for protected landscape organisations in the preparation of Local Nature Recovery Strategies. Our recent LNRS consultation specifically asks for views on the role of different organisations in the preparation of LNRSs, including protected landscapes., Building on FiPL, empowering protected landscapes to support decision-making and delivery against agreed priorities, including through dedicated project coordinators and advisers.

9 Do you have any views or supporting evidence you would like to input as we develop the role of protected landscapes in the new environmental land management schemes?

Please give us your views:

A stronger mission for connecting people and places

10 Should AONBs have a second purpose relating to connecting people and places, equivalent to that of National Parks?

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer: :

Community engagement - of all ages - is essential to inform people why and how the AONBs can and should be protected.

11 Should a strengthened second purpose of protected landscapes follow the proposals set out in Chapter 3 to improve connections to all parts of society with our protected landscapes?

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer: :

Community engagement - involving all parts of society - is essential to inform people why and how the AONBs can and should be protected.

12 Are there any other priorities that should be reflected in a strengthened second purpose?

Please give us your views:

Managing visitor pressures

13 Do you support any of the following options to grant National Park Authorities and the Broads Authority greater enforcement powers to manage visitor pressures? Tick all that apply.

Please give reasons for your answer: :

Practical options would be preferable to deal with inappropriate visitor pressures: signage, information boards and motor vehicle restrictions (see below).

14 Should we give National Park Authorities and the Broads Authority and local highway authorities additional powers to restrict recreational motor vehicle use on unsealed routes?

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer: :

To provide them with additional powers, given the damage and disturbance caused by motor vehicle use.

15 For which reasons should National Park Authorities, the Broads Authority and local authorities exercise this power? (select all that apply)

Environmental protection, Prevention of damage, Nuisance, Amenity

Other (please state):

16 Should we legislate to restrict the use of motor vehicles on unsealed unclassified roads for recreational use, subject to appropriate exemptions?

Yes – in National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty only

Please give reasons for your answer::

To prevent motor vehicles causing damage and disturbance to these roads, which is irreversible in the long-term.

17 What exemptions do you think would be required to protect the rights and enjoyment of other users e.g., residents, businesses etc?

Please give us your views:

Essential vehicular access for local residents and businesses may be exempt.

The role of AONB teams in planning

18 What roles should AONBs teams play in the plan-making process to achieve better outcomes?

Please give us your views:

AONB teams have an essential role to play in the plan-making process. Our own parish is located entirely in the AONB and the South Devon AONB Unit provided us with invaluable expert assistance with the preparation of our neighbourhood plan. This enabled us to ensure that development proposals would conserve and enhance the AONB whilst demonstrating that the neighbourhood plan would contribute towards achieving sustainable development

in our parish, which is a statutory requirement.

19 Should AONB teams be made statutory consultees for development management?

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer::

AONB teams should be statutory consultees in the planning process because they have the necessary expertise and detailed knowledge of their respective AONBs. At present, however, their input is severely limited due to the lack of powers and resources available to them. This is not generally appreciated by the wider public.

In the case of the South Devon AONB, there is a statutory management plan and detailed planning guidance on how development can conserve and enhance the natural beauty of the South Devon AONB. The AONB team are therefore best placed to interpret and ensure their own policies are implemented so that better outcomes are achieved in the planning process.

20 If yes, what type of planning applications should AONB teams be consulted on?

AONB teams should formally agree with local planning authorities which planning applications should be consulted on.

Other (please state):

Local governance

21 Which of the following measures would you support to improve local governance? Tick all that apply.

Improved training and materials, Streamlined process for removing underperforming members, Greater use of advisory panels, Greater flexibility over the proportion of national, parish and local appointments, Merit-based criteria for local authority appointments, Reduced board size

Other (please state):

Please give reasons for your answer: :

This will improve efficiency.

A clearer role for public bodies

22 Should statutory duties be strengthened so that they are given greater weight when exercising public functions?

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer: :

To improve decision-making.

23 Should statutory duties be made clearer with regards to the role of public bodies in preparing and implementing management plans?

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer: :

For consistency and to better manage AONBs

General power of competence

24 Should National Parks Authorities and the Broads Authority have a general power of competence?

Yes

Please give reasons for your answer: :

So that they are not constrained in carrying out their duties.

Overall

25 If you have any further comments on any of the proposals in this document, please include them here.

Please give us your views:

In terms of planning reform, AONB teams are also well placed to assist with reviewing the current permitted development regulations that apply in the AONB (lack thereof), which is much needed.